HOUSE BILL 166

0lr0819

By: **Delegates Washington, Barron, Bartlett, W. Fisher, Ivey, J. Lewis, and Wilkins** Introduced and read first time: January 15, 2020 Assigned to: Judiciary

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Criminal Procedure – Law Enforcement Procedures – Use of Force

FOR the purpose of providing that a certain police officer is justified in using force under
certain circumstances; providing that a certain police officer is justified in using
deadly force under certain circumstances; requiring a certain trier of fact to consider
certain factors when assessing whether a certain police officer's beliefs and actions
were reasonable; requiring a certain trier of fact to consider a certain police officer's
beliefs and actions from the perspective of a reasonable police officer; defining certain
terms; and generally relating to the use of force by police officers.

- 10 BY adding to
- 11 Article Criminal Procedure
- 12 Section 2–109
- 13 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 14 (2018 Replacement Volume and 2019 Supplement)
- 15 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
 16 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 17

Article – Criminal Procedure

18 **2–109.**

19 (A) (1) IN THIS SECTION THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS 20 INDICATED.

21 (2) "DEADLY FORCE" MEANS FORCE LIKELY OR INTENDED TO 22 CREATE A SUBSTANTIAL RISK OF SERIOUS BODILY INJURY OR DEATH.

23 (3) "DEADLY WEAPON" MEANS AN OBJECT, USED OR INTENDED TO BE



	2 HOUSE BILL 166
1	USED, THAT IS LIKELY TO CAUSE SERIOUS BODILY INJURY OR DEATH.
$2 \\ 3$	(B) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION, A POLICE OFFICER IS JUSTIFIED IN USING FORCE AGAINST A PERSON IF:
4 5	(1) (I) 1. THERE IS PROBABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE THAT THE PERSON COMMITTED A CRIME; AND
6 7	2. THE FORCE IS USED TO PREVENT THE PERSON'S ESCAPE FROM LAWFUL CUSTODY; OR
8 9	(II) THE FORCE IS USED TO PREVENT THE COMMISSION OF A CRIME; AND
10 11	(2) THE POLICE OFFICER USES NO MORE FORCE THAN REASONABLY NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE AN ARREST.
12	(C) A POLICE OFFICER IS JUSTIFIED IN USING DEADLY FORCE IF:
$\begin{array}{c} 13\\14\\15\end{array}$	(1) THE POLICE OFFICER REASONABLY BELIEVES THAT DEADLY FORCE IS NECESSARY TO PROTECT THE POLICE OFFICER OR ANOTHER PERSON FROM THE THREAT OF SERIOUS BODILY INJURY OR DEATH; AND
$\frac{16}{17}$	(2) THE POLICE OFFICER'S ACTIONS ARE REASONABLE GIVEN THE TOTALITY OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES.
18 19 20	(D) A TRIER OF FACT SHALL CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING FACTORS AS PART OF THE TOTALITY OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES WHEN ASSESSING WHETHER THE POLICE OFFICER'S BELIEFS AND ACTIONS WERE REASONABLE:
21	(1) WHETHER THE INJURED OR DECEASED PERSON:
$\frac{22}{23}$	(I) POSSESSED OR APPEARED TO POSSESS A DEADLY WEAPON OR AN OBJECT THAT COULD BE USED AS A DEADLY WEAPON; AND
24 25 26 27	(II) REFUSED TO COMPLY WITH A POLICE OFFICER'S ORDER TO FORFEIT AN OBJECT BELIEVED TO BE A DEADLY WEAPON, OR ANY OTHER ORDER REASONABLY RELATED TO PUBLIC SAFETY PRIOR TO THE POLICE OFFICER USING DEADLY FORCE;
28 29 30	(2) WHETHER THE POLICE OFFICER ENGAGED IN DE-ESCALATION MEASURES, INCLUDING TAKING COVER, WAITING FOR BACKUP, TRYING TO CALM THE PERSON, OR USING LESS LETHAL TYPES OF FORCE PRIOR TO THE USE OF

HOUSE BILL 166

1 DEADLY FORCE, IF SUCH MEASURES WERE REASONABLE; AND

2 (3) WHETHER ANY CONDUCT BY THE POLICE OFFICER INCREASED 3 THE RISK OF A CONFRONTATION RESULTING IN DEADLY FORCE BEING USED.

4 (E) A TRIER OF FACT SHALL CONSIDER THE REASONABLENESS OF THE 5 DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICER'S BELIEFS AND ACTIONS FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF 6 A REASONABLE POLICE OFFICER.

7 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 8 October 1, 2020.